



Pima County Sheriff's Department

Detail Incident Report for 090224001

Nature: DUI DEPLOYMENT

Location: City of Tucson

Tucson AZ 85714

Offense Codes: 6101

Received By: Hanna,DouglasS

How Received: On-Site

Agency: PCSD

Responding Officers: Hanna,DouglasS

Responsible Officers: Hanna,DouglasS

Disposition: Active 02/23/09

When Reported: 10:41:43 02/23/09

Occurred Between: 20:00:00 02/23/09 and 23:30:00 02/23/09

Assigned To:

Detail:

Date Assigned: **/**/**

Status:

Status Date: **/**/**

Due Date: **/**/**

NOTICE:

PRIVATE OR CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION, SUCH AS DATE OF BIRTH, SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER AND HOME ADDRESS, HAS BEEN REDACTED PURSUANT TO ARIZONA LAW.

EVIDENCE INFORMATION:

Item Number: DH1

Item Type: EVI

Serial Number:

Item: COMPACT DISK

Brand:

Model:

Color:

Description: CD-SOBRIETY CHECKPOINT FILES

NARRATIVE:

Initial Case Dictated by D. S. Hanna #1258 in Supp. 1

SUPPLEMENTAL NARRATIVE:

Initial Case Narrative by D. S. Hanna #1258 on 02/25/09 at 1231 hrs.

Total Dictation Time = 3:40 mins.

On Tuesday, 02/24/2009, at approximately 2000 hours, I conducted a briefing for the Pima County Sheriff's Department's (PCSD) Special Operations DUI checkpoint. It was established that the checkpoint for this evening would be located at Skyline, east of Campbell. This area was chosen because of statistical data and research.

The briefing was conducted via a PowerPoint presentation, which was explained to personnel staffing the sobriety checkpoint. Specifically the checkpoint was aimed at DUI incidents in the location. The primary function of the checkpoint was to deter and detect impaired drivers in that given location.

NOTE: I gave a media release and e-mailed various entities of the media.

The sobriety checkpoint became operational at 2101 hours and concluded at 2302 hours. Seven hundred eighty-six vehicles passed through the checkpoint. Six field sobriety tests were conducted, resulting in two DUI arrests and two other types of arrests (suspended licenses).

It was explained to staff members that if traffic became excessive we would revert to one lane operation, operating in one direction at a time. This was performed on several occasions. The aim was to be no more obtrusive than a traffic light, in effect to limit the slowing and stop time of the motoring public.

This concludes my involvement in this case.

NFI Transcribed by #5774 on Wed Feb 25 22:11:45 MST 2009
